



REESTABLISHING ATHLETIC MOVEMENT AND BUILDING STRENGTH POST-OP ACLR: A GUIDE ON HOW TO REINTEGRATE THE ATHLETE BACK INTO FULL ACTIVITY





PRE-OP/POST-OP AND EARLY TO MID PHASE STRENGTH CONSIDERATIONS FOR ADDRESSING SURGICAL ASYMMETRIES

TYLER WHEELER, MS, LAT, ATC, CSCS, CSPS, CERT. DN

CONFLICT DISCLOSURE:

- I have no conflicts of interest.
- Views expressed are based on my own clinical experience.
- Views may not be the same as my employer or colleagues.
- Please use discretion when using the information contained in this presentation as ACLR rehabilitation is not a cookie cutter approach.



CONTENT COVERAGE CHECK LIST:

- \circ Pre-Operative Care
- Post-Operative Considerations / Post Operative Care
- \circ 3-4 Month Baseline Test
- Prescribing the Right Stimulus to Correct Asymmetries Induced by Surgery
- $\,\circ\,$ Guidelines for the Integration of Plyometric Progressions
- 6-7 Month Progress Test



ACLR REHAB CONTINUUM:



(SOLIE ET AL., 2024)



THE IMPORTANCE OF PREHABILITATION:

- Indicator of Early Knee Function Post-Op and Higher RTS Rates (Solie et al., 2024)
 - Prehab has been shown to reduce the following both Pre-Op and Post-Op: (Falia et al., 2016; Grindhem et al., 2015)
 - Joint Pain, Joint Effusion, Quadriceps Atrophy and Dysfunction, Knee ROM Restrictions
 - 72% of athletes who completed Prehab vs 63% of athletes who did not (Falia et al., 2016)
 - Returned to pre-Injury level of sport at 2-year follow-ups
 - Six weeks of prehabilitation was shown to reduce RTS times (Sharaani et al., 2013)
 - 42.5 weeks without prehab >>>> 34.2 weeks with prehab

***Advocate for your Patients and Normalize Knee Function Prior to ACLR!



CONTENT COVERAGE CHECK LIST:

- Pre-Operative Care
- Post-Operative Considerations / Post Operative Care
- \circ 3-4 Month Baseline Test
- Prescribing the Right Stimulus to Correct Asymmetries Induced by Surgery
- $_{\odot}\,$ Guidelines for the Integration of Plyometric Progressions
- 6-7 Month Progress Test



CONSIDERATIONS FOR PROGRAMMING REHAB POST-OP ACLR:

- Graft Type?
 - Bone Patellar Tendon Bone (BPTB)
 - Quad Tendon (QT)
 - Hamstring Tendon (HT)
 - Autograft vs Allograft
 - Contralateral Autografts
- Lateral Extra-Articular Procedures (LEAP)?
 - Anterior Lateral Ligament (ALL)
 - Lateral Extra-Articular Tenodesis (LET)
 - *** Both Serve to Decrease the Anterolateral Laxity of the Knee





Red: LET Black: ALL Blue: CL



CONSIDERATIONS FOR PROGRAMMING REHAB POST-OP ACLR:

- Multi-Ligament?
 - MCL, LCL, PCL

Statistically shown to reduce level of RTS and RTS Rates. (Solie et al., 2024)

- Meniscus Involvement?
 - Repair vs Partial Meniscectomy, ROM Restrictions, and Reactive Joint Effusion to New Stimuli
- Patient Age, Training Age, and Gender?
 - Helps Determine Intensity and Frequency of the Training Stimulus to Ensure Adaptation
- Sport or Activity the Patient is Returning To?
 - RTP Criteria, Integration of Plyometrics, and Programming Sport-Specific Accessory Movements
- Level of Activity the Patient Needs To Return?
 - Helps Determine your Pace of Progression and Programming Intensity in the Late Phases of Rehab



EARLY POST-EXERCISES: WEEKS 0-3

• Clinical Goal: Mitigate Quad Atrophy, Normalize ROM, and Decrease Joint Effusion





EARLY POST-EXERCISES: WEEKS 3-6

• Clinical Goal: SLR and LAQ Control, Normalize ROM, and Decrease Joint Effusion





EARLY POST-EXERCISES: WEEKS 6-8

• Clinical Goal: TKE Control, Tendon Tension, Normalize ROM, and Decrease Joint Effusion













EARLY POST-EXERCISES: WEEKS 8-10

• Clinical Goal: Loaded TKE, Tendon/Quad Tension, Normalize ROM, and Decrease Joint Effusion











WHEN DO WE START STRENGTHENING? <mark>Goal: Week 10-12 Post-op</mark>

- Early-phase strength training can begin once the following goals have been met:
 - AMI has been addressed and patient has Sufficient Quad Activation (Week 0-10 Post-Op)
 - Quadriceps control over Quad Set, SLR, and TKE
 - Clinical Observation: 2-4 Weeks of Prehab has been Beneficial in Re-establishing Quad Activation Post-Op
 - Normalization of Range of Motion (Week 0-12 Post-Op)
 - Extension: WNL Bilaterally
 - Symptomatic Cyclops Lesions present in 1-11% of Post Operative ACLRs (Kambhampati et al., 2020)
 - Flexion: WNL Bilaterally
 - Arthrofibrosis present in 2-35% of Post Operative ACLRs (Sutanto, 2023)
 - Clinical Observation: LOSS OF MOTION IS LOSS OF FUNCTION!
 - Normalizing or Diminishing Joint Effusion (Week 0-16 Post-Op)
 - Graded Daily by Clinician via Sweep Test
 - 3+ / 2+ / 1+ / Trace / Phys / 🖋



WHAT HAPPENS WHEN WE START TOO SOON OR TOO FAST?

- Risk of Bone Tunnels Widening and Graft Loosening/Subsequent Failure
 - Physiologically, bone tunnels are at the highest risk for widening in the first 12 weeks (Sauer, 2017)
 - Exhibit caution with intensity and load of OKC exercises between 0-30 degrees of flexion during this time frame
- Knee Joint Pain/Tenderness/Irritability
 - Joint Effusion Increases
 - Hypertonicity of the Musculature Surrounding the Knee
 - Loss of ROM
- Quad Tendon/Patellar Tendon Pain
 - Occurs when the load isn't graded in intensity.
 - Leads to Movement Aversion/Dysfunction
 - May Lead to a De-Load Period if Severe Enough





CONTENT COVERAGE CHECK LIST:

- Pre-Operative Care
- Post-Operative Considerations / Post Operative Care
- \circ 3-4 Month Baseline Test
- Prescribing the Right Stimulus to Correct Asymmetries Induced by Surgery
- $_{\odot}\,$ Guidelines for the Integration of Plyometric Progressions
- 6-7 Month Progress Test



TRAC PROGRAM: BASELINE AND PROGRESS TESTING PROTOCOLS

* Indicates testing completed if patient is able starting at 6 months s/p

Strength

- Maximal Isometric Quadriceps Test @ 90° knee flexion on Isokinetic Dynamometer (Biodex)
- Isokinetic Quadriceps/Hamstring at 60°/sec

Power

 Counter Movement Jump (Vertical Jump) on bilateral force plates*

Coordination

All of the below performed on force plates under 3D motion capture

- Double Leg Squat
- Single Leg Squat
- Single Leg Forward Hop for Distance*

RTHOPEDICS

HAUS



STRENGTH: 90 DEGREE QUADRICEPS ISOMETRIC





STRENGTH: 60 DEGREE/SEC QUADRICEPS/HAMSTRING ISOKINETIC





POWER: COUNTER MOVEMENT JUMP





COORDINATION: DOUBLE LEG SQUAT MECHANICS





COORDINATION: SINGLE LEG SQUAT MECHANICS





COORDINATION: SINGLE LEG LANDING MECHANICS





BIOMECHANICAL ASSESSMENT: BASELINE (MONTH 3-4)

- LACLR, BTB-Auto, LMR, MMR, DOS: 9/23/22, Returning To Baseball (Goal: 5/1/23)
- DOT: 1/12/23 (4 Months)







Surgical at







CONTENT COVERAGE CHECK LIST:

- Pre-Operative Care
- Post-Operative Considerations / Post Operative Care
- 3-4 Month Baseline Test
- Prescribing the Right Stimulus to Correct Asymmetries Induced by Surgery
- $_{\odot}\,$ Guidelines for the Integration of Plyometric Progressions
- 6-7 Month Progress Test



PRESCRIBING THE RIGHT STIMULUS:



Figure 8: Stimulus-Recovery-Adaptation Curve

- Stimulus can be divided in 5 subcategories:
 - Volume/Asymmetrical Loading Scheme
 - Sets and Reps
 - Load
 - Body Weight, External Resistance
 - Intensity of the Load
 - % Max, RPE, Metabolic Thresholds
 - Tempo of the Exercises
 - Isometric, Eccentric, Concentric, Isotonic
 - Frequency
 - Completed How Many Times/Week



PROGRAMMING QUADRICEPS LOADING - VOLUME & INTENSITY

Work Capacity → Hypertrophy → Strength

TABLE 15.9

Load and Repetition Assignments Based on the Training Goal

| Training goal | Load (%1RM) | Goal repetitions | | |
|--|----------------|------------------|--|--|
| *Strength | ≥85 | ≤6 | | |
| Power: Single-effort event Multiple-effort event | 80-90 75-85 | 1-2 3-5 | | |
| Hypertrophy | 67-85 | 6-12 | | |
| Muscular endurance | ≤67 | ≥12 | | |
| | | | | |

LO

A

*These RM loading assignments for muscular strength training apply only to core exercises; assistance exercises should be limited to loads not heavier than an 8RM (6).

†The load and repetition assignments shown for power in this table are not consistent with the %1RM-repetition relationship. On average, loads equaling about 80% of the 1RM apply to the two- to five-repetition range. Refer to the discussion of assigning percentages of the 1RM for power training on page 400 for further explanation. © 2008 Human Kinetics

| Phase 1 (Months 3-4) | Phase 2 (Months 4-5) | Phase 3 (Months 5-8) | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Tendon Robustness | Work Capacity/Hypertrophy | Strength | | |
| NG DURATION ISOMETRICS | VOLUME | INCREASED TIME UNDER TENSION | | |
| 3-6 sets of 60 sec Isometric | 3-4 Sets to Failure 15-20reps | 3-4 sets of 5-6 reps Heavy Eccentrics | | |
| dd Load once 60 sec can be achieved | If 20 achieved, Add Weight, If 15, Take off weight Goal is to fail around 17 | RPE 7-9/10 Rep Tempo: 4-5sec ECC | | |



WHAT IS AN ASYMMETRICAL LOADING SCHEME?

- Asymmetrical Loading Schemes help to ensure we are providing a Consistent, Predictable Overload!!
 - Clinical Observation: An early emphasis on surgical limb overload has been correlated to correct asymmetries and result in a quicker RTS.

Quadriceps Strength

Asymmetrical Loading Scheme Recommendations



Quadriceps Strength





CONTENT COVERAGE CHECK LIST:

- Pre-Operative Care
- Post-Operative Considerations / Post Operative Care
- 3-4 Month Baseline Test
- Prescribing the Right Stimulus to Correct Asymmetries Induced by Surgery
- $\,\circ\,$ Guidelines for the Integration of Plyometric Progressions
- 6-7 Month Progress Test



INTEGRATING EARLY PHASE PLYOMETRICS:

Clinical Recommendations

- Joint Effusion < Trace</p>
- Knee ROM WNL Bilaterally
- LSI > 70%
- Surgical Limb Relative Strength > 55%

Plyometric Exposure Progression

| DL/SL | Push-to-Base | DL Concentric | Linear | DL | Jogging | DL | SL |
|------------|---------------|---------------|---------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| Eccentric | Decelerations | Development | Jogging | Linear/Lateral | Decelerations | Linear/Lateral | Concentric |
| Absorption | | | | Plyos | | Plyos | Development |
| | | | | (50%) | | (75%) | |



BIOMECHANICAL ASSESSMENT: PROGRESS (MONTH 6-7)

- LACLR, BTB-Auto, LMR, MMR, DOS: 9/23/22, Returning To Baseball (Goal: 5/1/23)
- DOT: 4/6/23 (7 Months)





Single Leg Squat at Peak Knee Flexion





Surgical



Single Leg Forward Hop at Peak Knee Flexion









CONTENT COVERAGE CHECK LIST:

- Pre-Operative Care
- Post-Operative Considerations / Post Operative Care
- 3-4 Month Baseline Test
- Prescribing the Right Stimulus to Correct Asymmetries Induced by Surgery
- Guidelines for the Integration of Plyometric Progressions



CONTACT INFORMATION



Tyler Wheeler, MS, LAT, ATC, CSCS, CSPS, Cert. DN

- Certified Athletic Trainer
- Certified Strength and Conditioning Specialist
- Certified Special Population Specialist

Training HAUS

Viking Lakes 2645 Vikings Circle, Suite 200 | Eagan, MN 55121 C: 218-639-7349

E: TylerWheeler@TrainingHAUS.com | TrainingHAUS.com



REFERENCES

- Failla, M. J., Logerstedt, D. S., Grindem, H., Axe, M. J., Risberg, M. A., Engebretsen, L., Huston, L. J., Spindler, K. P., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2016). Does extended preoperative rehabilitation influence outcomes 2 years after ACL reconstruction? A comparative effectiveness study between the MOON and Delaware-Oslo ACL cohorts. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 44(10), 2608–2614. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546516652594</u>
- Grindem, H., Granan, L. P., Risberg, M. A., Engebretsen, L., Snyder-Mackler, L., & Eitzen, I. (2015). How does a combined preoperative and postoperative rehabilitation programme influence the outcome of ACL reconstruction 2 years after surgery? A comparison between patients in the Delaware-Oslo ACL Cohort and the Norwegian National Knee Ligament Registry. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 49(6), 385–389. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2014-093891</u>
- Kambhampati, S. B. S., Gollamudi, S., Shanmugasundaram, S., & Josyula, V. V. S. (2020). Cyclops lesions of the knee: A narrative review of the literature. *Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine*, 8(8), 2325967120945671. https://doi.org/10.1177/2325967120945671
- Shaarani, S. R., O'Hare, C., Quinn, A., Moyna, N., Moran, R., & O'Byrne, J. M. (2013). Effect of prehabilitation on the outcome of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 41(9), 2117–2127. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546513493594
- Sauer, S., & Lind, M. (2017). Bone tunnel Enlargement after ACL reconstruction with hamstring autograft Is dependent on original bone tunnel diameter. Surgery Journal (New York, N.Y.), 3(2), e96–e100. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1603950</u>
- Solie, B. S., Tollefson, L. V., Doney, C. P., O'Keefe, J. M. J., Thompson, W. C., & LaPrade, R. F. (2024). Return to the pre-Injury level of sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A practical review with medical recommendations. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 10.1055/a-2270-3233. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-2270-3233.
- Tian, B., Zhang, M., & Kang, X. (2023). Strategies to promote tendon-bone healing after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: Present and future. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 11, 1104214. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2023.1104214</u>



ARTHROGENIC MUSCE INHIBITION (AMI) AND NEUROLOGICAL IMPLICATIONS

ARTHROGENIC MUSCE INHIBITION (AMI) AND NEUROLOGICAL IMPLICATIONS

AMI Limits force production

- Decreased descending motor output from higher brain centers
- Increased sensory signaling impairs the ability to recruit motor neurons and the muscle fibers they innervate.








DECREASED MOTOR UNIT RECRUITMENT LEADS TO DECREASED FORCE PRODUCTION





ARTHROGENIC MUSCLE INHIBITION MANIFESTS IN THIGH MUSCULATURE MOTOR UNIT CHARACTERISTICS AFTER ANTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT INJURY (SCHILATY ET AL., 2022)

Rate Coding: Increased at lower recruitment thresholds and decreased at higher recruitment thresholds

MUAP: Decreased in both low and high recruitment thresholds





NEURAL DRIVE AND MOTOR UNIT CHARACTERISTICS AFTER ANTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT RECONSTRUCTION: IMPLICATIONS FOR QUADRICEPS WEAKNESS (SHERMAN ET AL., 2023)

 Less available MU's at submaximal and maximal intensities

2) Decreased force output at submaximal and maximal intensities





NEURAL DRIVE AND MOTOR UNIT CHARACTERISTICS AFTER ANTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT RECONSTRUCTION: IMPLICATIONS FOR QUADRICEPS WEAKNESS (SHERMAN ET AL., 2023)



Mean Firing Rate to Recruitment Threshold Relationship (Nm/kg)



DECREASED MOTOR UNIT RECRUITMENT LEADS TO DECREASED FORCE PRODUCTION

Problem

- 1) High Threshold MU's are innervated by type II muscle fibers
- AMI + Lack of Stimulation result leads to *Denervation/Atrophy* to quadriceps muscle (theory)



Axon of motor neurons extend from the spinal cord to the muscle. There each axon divides into a number of axon terminals that form neromuscular junctions with muscle fibers scattered throughout the muscle.

Goal

1) Promote Hypertrophy/Strength by regulating MU Recruitment with resistance training





ACL GRAFT STRAIN AND EXERCISE SELECTION

GRAFT FAILURE AS A RESULT OF PROBLEMATIC HEALING (CHEN, 2009)





GRAFT FAILURE AS A RESULT OF PROBLEMATIC HEALING (CHEN, 2009)



Non-Anatomic Poor Hardware Placement







Early healing Click on image to zoom Proliferation phase

Host response: inflammation Graft response: cell necrosis

| | And to | *** | * * * | |
|--|--------|-----|-------|--|
|--|--------|-----|-------|--|

Host response: angiogenesis

Graft response: cell repopulation



Maturation phase

Host response: tunnel closure Graft response: matrix remodeling (different in mid substance and in tunnels)

Ð



BONE-TENDON-BONE AND QUAD TENDON TENSILE CAPACITY (STÄUBLI ET AL., 1996)

Fig.2 Osteo-TL-Clamp (above) and cryogenic fixation devices (below) fixed to the loadcell and to the actuators of the materials testing machine. The Osteo-TL-Clamp consists of a rectangular chamber filled with a low melting point metal fixing the proximal or distal half of the patella including full-thickness attachment of the QT and PL, respectively. The cryogenic fixation device includes the central containment chamber. Cooling the mantle of the cryofixation device in liquid nitrogen creates an ice block as the containment chamber is filled with water. The free QT and/or PL ends are thus firmly fixed to the actuators of the materials testing machine [8]



| | QT-B complex | B-PL complex | P value |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Unconditioned | (<i>n</i> = 7) | (<i>n</i> = 7) | |
| Ultimate load (N) | 2173 ± 618 | 1953 ± 325 | 0.4275 NS |
| Displacement at ultimate load (mm) | ∽ 5.9 ± 1.2 [✓] | 4.7 ± 1.2 | 0.0729 NS |
| Stiffness at 200 N (N/mm) | 312.9 ± 49.6 | 423.4 ± 66.2 | 0.0012* |
| Stiffness at 800 N (N/mm) | 474.9 ± 82.5 | 544.5 ± 113.3 | 0.3567 NS |
| Energy to failure (J) | 6.7 ± 3.3 | 5.6 ± 1.9 | 0.4536 NS |
| Total energy (J) | 9.0 ± 3.3 | 9.6 ± 3.0 | 0.7270 NS |
| Preconditioned | (n = 8) | (n = 7) | |
| Ultimate load (N) | 2353 ± 495 | 2376 ± 152 | 0.7687 NS |
| Displacement at ultimate load (mm) | 5.6 ± 0.8 | 4.4 ± 1.1 | 0.0372* |
| Stiffness at 200 N (N/mm) | 325.6 ± 70.7 | 621.1 ± 121.8 | 0.00002* |
| Stiffness at 800 N (N/mm) | 569.5 ± 108.5 | 904.1 ± 148.1 | 0.0002* |
| Energy to failure (J) | 6.4 ± 1.5 | 6.2 ± 1.8 | 0.9339 NS |
| Total energy (J) | 9.7 ± 1.7 | 10.8 ± 2.4 | 0.3915 NS |



GRAFT STRAIN AND TENSILE FORCES FOR WEIGHT BEARING AND NON-WEIGHT BEARING EXERCISES AFT ACL RECONSTRUCTION: A GUIDE TO EXERCISE SELECTION (**ESCAMILLA ET AL., 2012**)

| Author | Exercise | Peak ACL Force (N) | Knee Flexion Angle (°) |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------------|------------------------|
| Toutoungi et al ⁵⁷ | Isokinetic seated knee extension (0°-90° of knee | > 349 < | 35 to 40 |
| | flexion) at 60°/s | | |
| | Isokinetic seated knee extension (0°-90° of knee | > 325 < | 35 to 40 |
| | flexion) at 120°/s | | |
| | Isokinetic seated knee extension (0°-90° of knee | 254 < | 35 to 40 |
| | flexion) at 180°/s | | |



GRAFT STRAIN AND TENSILE FORCES FOR WEIGHT BEARING AND NON-WEIGHT BEARING EXERCISES AFTER ACL RECONSTRUCTION: A GUIDE TO EXERCISE SELECTION (ESCAMILLA ET AL., 2012)

| Non–Weight-Bearing Exercises | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Author | Exercise | Anterior Shear | r Force (N) | Knee Flexion Angle (°) | | | |
| Wilk and Andrews ⁶¹ | Dynamic seated knee extension (0°-90° | 248 | | 14 | | | |
| | of knee flexion) using 12 repetitions of | | | | | | |
| | maximum resistance* | | | | | | |
| | Weight-Bearing Exe | rcises | | | | | |
| Author | Exercise | Anterior Shear | r Force (N) | Knee Flexion Angle (°) | | | |
| Wilk et al ⁶² | Barbell squat (0°-90° of knee flexion) using | 0 | | | | | |
| | 12 repetitions of maximum resistance* | | | | | | |
| | Leg press (0°-90° of knee flexion) using 12 | 0 | | | | | |
| | repetitions of maximum resistance* | | | | | | |
| Nagura et al ⁴⁰ | Full squat (0°-140° of knee flexion) using | 66 | | 10.9 | | | |
| | no external resistance | | | | | | |
| | Rising from kneeling | 111 | \rightarrow | 40.9 | | | |
| | Level-ground walking | 355 | $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ | 16.8 | | | |
| | Stair climbing | 146 | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ | 50.8 | | | |
| Pflum et al ⁴⁴ | Double-foot drop landing | 220 | | 33 to 48 | | | |

Abbreviation: ACL, anterior cruciate ligament.

*Heaviest resistance possible that allowed the performance of 12 consecutive repetitions with proper form and technique.



GRAFT STRAIN AND TENSILE FORCES FOR WEIGHT BEARING AND NON-WEIGHT BEARING EXERCISES AFTER ACL RECONSTRUCTION: A GUIDE TO EXERCISE SELECTION (ESCAMILLA ET AL., 2012)

Food for Thought

- Level Ground Walking: 303 (N)
- Isokinetic Knee Extension: 254-349 (N)
- Isometric Knee Extensions: 396 (N)
- Isotonic 12RM Knee Extensions: 158 (N)

Are Open Chain Knee Extensions Safe? (Forelli et al., 2023)

- OKC + CKC (n=51)
- CKC only (n=52)
- Results: Increased quadriceps strength in at 3,6, and 9 months. NO DIFFERENCE IN GRAFT LAXITY. (Patient began OKC at 4 weeks post-op).



This Not The Wild West

1) Respect tissue healing
 2) Prioritize graft incorporation
 3) A quite knee is a happy knee





CONTROLLING RESISTANCE TRAINING VARIABLES TO ENHANCE QUADRICEPS DEVELOPMENT

Controlling Resistance Training Variables to Enhance Quadriceps Development

- 1) Biomechanics
- 2) Muscle Length
- 3) Intensity, Internal Focus, and Proximity to Failure
- 4) Load
- 5) Volume

TORQUE OF QUADRICEPS





Closed Chain Quadriceps Biomechanics

How to Create more mechanical WORK for the Quad

• *External Moment Arm:* The length between a joint axis and the line of force acting on that joint. The longer the moment arm is the more load will be applied to the joint axis through leverage.

Load Placement: Front Squat or Back Squat?

The effects of squat variations on strength and quadriceps hypertrophy adaptations in recreationally trained females (Enes et al., 2024)

• FS trained at 25% less load and similar hypertrophy in the VL than those in the BS group





Open Chain Quadriceps Biomechanics (Willy & Meira, 2016) (Powers et al., 2014)





Surface EMG Amplitude is not a Validated Predictor of Muscle Hypertrophy (Vigotsky et al., 2022)

- High- versus low-load resistance training: When sets are per- formed to momentary failure, high and low loads produce similar growth despite high-loads eliciting greater sEMG amplitudes throughout the entire duration of a set
- Multi-Joint Vs. Single Joint: Squats yield lower sEMG activity and hypertrophy in the rectus femoris compared to knee extensions: *Biomechanically this makes sense*





Resistance Training at Longer Muscle Lengths Elicits Great Muscle Hypertrophy (Androulakis-Korakakis et al., 2023) (Wolf et al., 2023)

Training at longer muscle lengths (Even partial range in a lengthened position) likely yield a greater hypertrophic response.

The principle of specificity likely also applies to ROM, such that training should usually replicate the ROM of the outcome of interest.

• Biodex Testing (MIVC vs Isokinetic)

Controlling the eccentric and concentric portion of the movement through **full range of motion** likely yields greater muscle hypertrophy.

Table 1. Technique recommendations to maximize muscle hypertrophy.

| Variable | Evidence | Maximization Recommendation |
|-----------------------------------|----------|---|
| ТЕМРО | Moderate | A repetition tempo of 2–8 s seems to be sufficient to maximize hypertrophy, and it is currently unclear whether extending the concentric or eccentric phase of a repetition will lead to greater hypertrophy |
| ROM | Moderate | Employ a ROM that allows for muscles to be fully stretched |
| Involvement of non-target muscles | N/A | Diminish involvement by minimizing the use of external momentum when possible |

N/A, not applicable.

CONTROL KNEE FLEXION ANGLE / TRUNK POSITION
 RESPECT THE LENGTH TENSION RELATIONSHIP



Perceived Intensity, Internal Focus, Proximity to Failure (Refalo et al., 2022) (Schoenfeld et al., 2021)

Skeletal muscle can be effectively stimulated to hypertrophy prior to reaching momentary muscular failure during RT, but because of methodological limitations, it is difficult to discern the proximity-to-failure that would theoretically maximize muscle hypertrophy.







Strength vs. Hypertrophy: Load, Volume, Intensity (Baz-Valle et al., 2022) (Schoenfeld et al., 2021)

Hypertrophy

Intensity of Effort (Volitional Fatigue / Internal Focus)

Load: >30% 1RM

Volume: 12-20 weekly sets when trainingeachmuscle group twice per week- This Includes both single and multi-joint

movements

- No additional benefits of increasing training volume beyond 20 sets Inter-set Rest: >60 seconds

This Systematic group included studies that all performed all working sets at maximum effort (Momentary Failure) with an exception of one study that completed exercises with 2 reps in reserve

Strength

Specificity: Training for the test Load: >85% 1RM Volume: <15 sets/muscle/week Inter-set rest: 2-5 minutes



Intent: How the Set is Performed Matters Patreon. (n.d.). RPE and RIR / Chris

Beardsley. https://www.patreon.com/posts/rpe-and-rir-58859512

When training with maximal effort on each rep of a set, perceived effort (and therefore also the level of motor unit recruitment) achieved on each rep is high regardless of proximity to failure. Training in this way, more reps in a set cause strength gains by stimulating increases in the ability to access extra high-threshold motor units.



The sensation of effort is a cognitive feeling of work associated with voluntary actions, and is likely caused by a corollary discharge from the central motor command





Regulating Intensity



| | Bonch | Squat | | Hong Spotch | Hong Clean | Max | Near | Max | Ha | rd | Medium | n Hard |
|--|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Load (1 RM%) | Dentin | bench Squat | | nang Shatch | nang ciean | Exertion | Exe | rtion | Exe | rtion | Exer | tion |
| | MV (m/s) | MV (m/s) | MV (m/s) | PV (m/s) | PV (m/s) | 0 Rep in Tank | 1 Rep in Tank | 2 Rep in Tank | 3 Rep in Tank | 4 Rep in Tank | 5 Rep in Tank | 6 Rep in Tank |
| 100% | 0.15 | 0.3 | 0.26 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1 | | | | | | |
| 95% | 0.23 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 2.38 | 1.88 | 2 | 1 | | | | | |
| 90% | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.38 | 2.46 | 1.96 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | | | |
| 85% | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.44 | 2.54 | 2.04 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | | |
| 80% | 0.47 | 0.62 | 0.5 | 2.62 | 2.12 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| 75% | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.57 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| 70% | 0.63 | 0.78 | 0.63 | 2.78 | 2.28 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 65% | 0.71 | 0.86 | 0.69 | 2.86 | 2.36 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| 60% | 0.79 | 0.94 | 0.75 | 2.94 | 2.44 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| 55% | 0.87 | 1.02 | 0.81 | 3.02 | 2.52 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 5 |
| 50% | 0.95 | 1.10 | 0.87 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 20 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 6 |
| 45% | 1.03 | 1.18 | | 3.18 | 2.68 | 22 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 8 |
| 40% | 1.11 | 1.26 | | 3.26 | 2.76 | 24 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 10 |
| 35% | 1.19 | 1.34 | | 3.34 | 2.84 | 26 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 12 |
| 30% | 1.27 | 1.42 | | 3.42 | 2.92 | 28 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 14 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Difference in velocity per 5% in 1RM, can vary between 0.07-0.09 m/s | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * When an athlete increases the MV by 0.07-0.09m/s attained with a given absolute load, performance in 1RM has improved by 5% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * RESOURCE - MOVEMENT VELOCITY AS A MEASURE OF LOADING INTENSITY INRESISTANCE TRAINING, GONZALEZ-BADILLO, SANCHEZ-MEDINA. INT J SPORTS MEDICINE. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

2010, 31: 347-352

* RESOURCE - RESEARCHED APPLICATIONS OF VELOCITY BASED STRENGTH TRAINING Mladen Jovanović1 & Dr Eamonn P. Flanagan2. J Aust. Strength Cond. 22(2) 58-69. 2014



Why didn't these patient get

- 1) Decreased rate coding and MUAP, Inability to recruit higher threshold MU's
- 2) Reflexive Muscle Inhibition due to graft site discomfort
- 3) Lack of intensity/effort/Internal Focus







EXERCISE SELECTION TO MEET RTP CRITERIA

1) Biomechanics: Moment arms yield greater mechanical work **Regulate Moment Arms (Front Rack Variations) and program Knee Extensions**

2) Resistance training at longer muscle lengths is likely superior to training at shorter muscle lengths when talking about hypertrophy. Strength is more specific to testing means.

Regulate knee flexion angle with squats and knee extensions to yield greater mechanical tension on the quadriceps at a controlled tempo

3) Proximity or nearness to muscular failure is likely necessary to maximize hypertrophy.

Using reps in reserve can be a good way to ensure patient understanding and compliance with prescribed intensities and rep schemes

4) Load (Hypertrophy: >30% 1RM / Strength: >85%1RM)

Hypertrophy training should be completed at a minimum of 30% or a person's relative 1RM for hypertrophy training. Load becomes more important when training for strength which is specific to the means of testing (1RM/Biodex Testing)

5) Volume: Total of 12-20 working sets per muscle group per week.

There is does not seem to be a statistically significant increase in hypertrophy after 20 workings sets/muscle group. This is still unclear water but is worth considering when workin with patient that are recovering from a knee procedure.





YIELDING ISOMETRICS: HIGH EXERTION THROUGH AFFERENT FEEDBACK





OVERCOMING ISOMETRIC: HIGH EXERTION THROUGH HIGH EFFORT (COROLLARY DISCHARGE)



CONTROLLING PSYCHOLOGICAL AROUSAL WITH TACTILE FEEDBACK

Feedback Tindeq



INTRODUCING EXTERNAL LOAD





SLANT BOARDS CONTROL CENTER OF MASS BY MAINTAINING A MORE UP TRUNK POSITION



CONTROLLING EFFORT AND CONCENTRIC FORCE PRODUCTION: CLUSTER SETS













ECCENTRIC CONTROL: HIGH RATE OF CHANGE IN FORCE AND JOINT POSITION



| AGE STRENGTH: PHASE 1 | | | | ACE STRENGTH: PHASE 1 | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Date of Surgery: | | | | Effusion: | | | |
| Quad Strength: | | | | Range of Motion: | | | |
| MO | NDAY | FRID. | | FRIDA | | | |
| BONDAY | Waster 1 3 | WEDNESDAY | Weaks 4.2 | EDIDAY | Market 4.2 | | |
| Note: Use 6" box on top of 18" box / Plac | e TKE band around Sled and Surgical Knee | Note: Use 6" box on top of 18" box / Place 1 | KE band around Sled and Surgical Knee | Note: Use 6" box on top of 18" box / Place TK | E band around Sled and Surgical Knee | | |
| | Tempo Sets Reps RIR Weight | | Tempo Sets Reps RIR Weight | | Tempo Sets Reps RIR Weight | | |
| Pinnochio Kickstand Squat | (1-5-1-1) 3s,1ns 10 2 to 3 | Narrow Stance Goblet Squat on Slant Board | (3-2-1-1) 4 8 to 10 3 | Pinnocio Split Stance Squat | (1-5-1-1 3s,1ms 8 2 to 3 | | |
| ***Hold on Last Rep to Failure*** | (1-10-1-1) 4s,1ns 6 2 to 3 | Increase Load: This is a BILATERAL movement | (3-2-1-1) 3 12 1 to 2 | | (1-8-1-1 4s,2ns 6 2 to 3 | | |
| Barbell Rack Pull | 1-3-1-1 3 5 | Kickstand Barbell Rack Pull | 1-3-1-1 3 5 | Barbell Rack Pull | 1-3-1-1 3 5 | | |
| Single Leg Hip Extension Iso on Box | Tempo Sets Reps RIR Weight 3 30s | Single Leg CKC Clam at Wall with Knee Push on Box | Tempo Sets Reps RIR Weight 3 30s | Single Leg Hip Extension Iso on Box | Tempo Sets Reps RIR Weight 3 30s | | |
| Lateral Plank from Knee | 3 305 | Adductor Plank | 3 30s | SL CKC Clam at Wall with Knee Push in Box | 3 30s | | |
| Russian Hamstring Curl | 3-1-1-1 3 8 | Glute Ham Razor Curl | 3 10 | Russian Hamstring Curl | 3 8 | | |
| Single Leg Calf Raise on Shuttle | 2s,1ns 12 to 20 | Single Leg Calf Raise on Stair | 3s.1ns 12 to 20 | Single Leg Calf Raise on Shuttle | 3 12 to 20 | | |
| Keiser Knee Extension (100-60 deg) | Keiser Knee Extension (100-80 deg) | | 3s 12 to 20 | Keiser Knee Extension (100-80 deg) | 3s 12 to 20 0 | | |
| | 3s 12 to 20 1 to 2 | Circ What You | 3s 12 to 20 | | 3s 12 to 20 0 | | |
| | | | | ACC STRENGT | DUASE 4 | | |
| Date of Surgery: | | | | Effusion: | | | |
| Quad Strength: | | | | Range of Motion: | | | |
| MO | NDAY | Wedne | sday | FRIDA | (| | |
| | | INTENSITY OF EFFORT | IS KEY FOR HYPERTROPHY | | | | |
| MONDAY | Weeks 3-4 | WEDNESDAY | Weeks 3-4 | FRIDAY | Weeks 3-4 | | |
| Note: Place TKE band are | sund Sied and Surgical Knee | Note: 2 power blocks (2 each side) / Slan | t Board is Prefered / Work on Push off | Note: Use 8" box / Place TKE band around Sied and Surgical Knee | | | |
| Pinnochio Kickstand Squat | Tempo Sets Reps RIR Weight | Narrow Stance Hexbar Squat From Power Block | Tempo Sets Reps RIR Weight 4 6 to 8 2 to 3 | Pinnocio Split Stance Squat | Tempo Sets Reps RIR Weight 1-15-1-1 3s,1ns 4 2 to 3 | | |
| | 1-30-1-1 3s,1ns 2 3 | ***DRIVE UP FAST*** | 4 6 to 8 2 to 3 | | 1-20-1-1 3s,1ns 3 2 | | |
| Reverse Step Down to March at Wall (6" box) | 35 4 | Reverse Step Down to March at Wall (6* box) | 3s 4 | Reverse Step Down to March at Wall (6" box) | 3s 6 to 8 | | |
| Single Leg DB RDL with Support | Tempo Sets Reps RIR Weight 1-3-1-1 3 6 to 8 | Hexbar RDL on Power Rack | Tempo Sets Reps RIR Weight 3 6 to 8 | Single Leg DB RDL with Support | Sets Reps RIR Weight 3 6 to 8 | | |
| DB Single Leg Hip Extension Isometric | 3 20s | Addcutor Plank | 3 30s | DB Single Leg Hip Extension Isometric | 3 20s | | |
| CKC Clam at Wall with Knee Push on Box (Add Medball) | 3 30s | CKC Clam at Wall with Knee Push on Box (Add Medball) | 1-5-1-1 3 30s | CKC Clam at Wall with Knee Push on Box (Add Medball) | 3 30s | | |
| Dowel Overhead Forwad Puddle Step w/ Pause | 1-3-1-1 5 5 | DB Ankle Banded DB Monster Walk | 3 10yals | Dowel Overhead Forwad Puddle Step w/ Pause | 5 5 | | |
| Keiser Knee Extension (100-60 deg): Drop Set | 2s Failure 0 | Shuttle Press: Slow Lower (Up on 2 / Down on Surgical) | ALAP 3 8 | Keiser Knee Extension (100-60 deg): Drop Set | 3 Failure 0 | | |
| | Lo nativire u | Give What You | i Can Today | | | | |



BIOMECHANICS OF PRIMARY ACL INJURY

Mechanisms for Noncontact Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injuries

Knee Joint Kinematics in 10 Injury Situations From Female Team Handball and Basketball

Hideyuki Koga,* MD, PhD, Atsuo Nakamae, MD, PhD, Yosuke Shima, MD, PhD,

- -Low knee flexion angle observed at initial contact (~23°) -Rapid valgus development within 40 ms after
- IC
- -Quadriceps anterior shear component is large at
- a low flexion angle



Figure 1. An example of a video matched in Poser. Case number 2, 2-camera team handball injury situation 40 milliseconds after initial contact (IC). The 2 upper panels show the customized skeleton model and the handball court model superimposed on and matched with the background video image from cameras 1 and 2. The bottom 2 panels show the skeleton model from a frontal (lower left) and side (lower right) view created in Poser.


LANDING MECHANICS IN REHABBING ACLR





ALL TOGETHER NOW!





ALL TOGETHER NOW!





SAGITTAL DECELERATION





What do we do about it?! : Sagittal Deceleration





SPORT SPECIFIC MOTION CAPTURE-DECELERATION ANALYSIS





What do we do about it?! : Sagittal Deceleration

Linear Deceleration Rehab Progression Considerations for ACLR

Break down movement needs Kinematically
 Build Progression Kinetically



Penultimate Step

- 1. Foot Contact Ahead of COM
- 2. Trunk/Hip/Knee Simultaneous Flexion
- 3. Center of Mass Down/Forward
- 4. Spending Time on
 - Penultimate Step (Load)
- 5. Weight Shift onto Final Step (Plant)

H HAUS

Rehabilitation Considerations: Sagittal Deceleration







Rehabilitation Considerations: Sagittal Deceleration



Sagittal Deceleration Progression

Conscious Technique Education → **Unconscious Reactive Movement**

- 1. Fundamentals
- 2. Known/Expected Pattern
- 3. Patterned Reaction
- 4. Open Environment Reaction

Each Level Progresses in

- Guided RPE
- Range of motion
- Volume
- Speed
- Reactivity
- Skill Level of Opponent

To address individual restrictions/level of activity



SAGITTAL DECELERATION: FUNDAMENTALS

Lunge Weight Shift

- Positive Shin angle
 Parallel Shin/Spine
 During Weight
 Transition
 Encourages
 - Encourages Hip/Trunk/Knee Association











Sagittal Deceleration: Fundamentals

Step Load Plant

Bound to Load Plant

Ankling to Load Plant



 Initiates Ground Contact



 Increases Rate of Loading



• Focuses on Timing



Sagittal Deceleration: Fundamentals

Level 1: Increasing Vertical Force Demands on Load Step



Level 2: Increasing Horizontal Force Demands on Load Step



SAGITTAL DECELERATION: KNOWN/EXPECTED PATTERN

5yd Decel

Application of Fundamental Steps to More Systemic Movement

- Increases Speed
- Externalizes Focus



10yd Decel + Jump

- Increase in Step
 Volume
- Increase in Speed
- Jump forces a Full
 Deceleration

*Note slight anterior displacement during landing





SAGITTAL DECELERATION: PATTERNED REACTION

Decel On Auditory Cue



- Pattern Is
 Known
- Externalizes
 Focus away
 from Movement
 Mechanics
 - Promotes Unconscious Movement Habits

 Good assessment of what is being learned



Decel On Visual Cue



SAGITTAL DECELERATION: OPEN ENVIRONMENT REACTION

Make a Move/Play Some Defense

- Pattern Is UnKnown
- React to the Environment Presented
- Do they apply fundamentals without thinking of them?

Progression Levels:

- 1. Speed
- 2. Start Position
- 3. Offense/Defense
- 4. Skill of Opponent
- 5. Size of Environment
- 6. Sport Specific Scenarios







Programming Deceleration/Movement Training

Conscious Technique Education → Unconscious Reactive Movement

1. Fundamentals

- 2-3 sets 6-10reps/10-20yds
- 2. Known/Expected Pattern
 - 2-3 sets 4-5 reps each
- 3. Patterned Reaction
 - 2-6 sets 4-5 reps each
- 4. Open Environment Reaction
 - 2-10 reps with increasing duration and complexity

Each Level Progresses in

- Guided RPE
- Range of motion
- Volume
- Speed
- Reactivity
- Skill Level of Opponent

To address individual restrictions/level of activity







USING THE CONSTRAINTS LED APPROACH IN Return to play

STUART BORNE, M.ED., ATC, CSCS

CONFLICTS

- No conflicts of interest
- Views expressed are mine
- Views may not be the same as my employer or colleagues
- Please use discretion when using the information contained in this presentation



"Absorb what is useful. Discard what is not. Add what is uniquely your own."

– Bruce Lee



QUESTIONS TO CONSIDER

- What is functional or sport specific movement?
- What makes a movement correct?
- What makes a movement incorrect?
- When should you give feedback for a movement?
- When should you not?
- How do you change the way someone moves?
- How can you tell if it works?



WHICH DEADLIFT IS CORRECT?







Developing the 7 basic movements in the weight room create a foundation for athletic strength and advanced training later



Developing the 7 basic movement patterns in the weight room creates a foundation for athletic strength and advanced training later













CONTEXT MATTERS

• <u>https://twitter.com/VernonGriffith4/status/814887079343747072</u>





CLA & PERCEPTION/ACTION





SPORTS CONSTRAINTS EXAMPLES

- Athlete speed, strength, power, flexibility, weight, body comp, injury history, emtions, understanding of the game, exercise, or drill, shoe wear
- Task rules/instructions, time, speed, implements, equipment, exercises, drills
- Environment space, surface, other people, weather, light, sound, view or vision, obstacles



























CHANGE THE TASK





DON'T DROP THE FOAM ROLLER




CHANGE THE INSTRUCTIONS





KEEP THE TENNIS BALL IN THE AIR





CHANGE THE IMPLEMENT





GIVE THEM TIME TO FIGURE IT OUT





CONSTRAINTS LED APPROACH







HEADERS





CHANGE THE TASK





CHANGE THE ENVIRONMENT





RESOURCES

- Dustin Grooms research, presentations, podcasts
 - Principles of Motor Learning to Support Neuroplasticity After ACL Injury: Implications for Optimizing Performance and Reducing Risk of Second ACL Injury







DISCUSSION

- Contact Info:
 - o <u>Stuartborne@tcomn.com</u>
 - o <u>Stuartborne@gmail.com</u>

